



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,026 02/02/2000		Katsumi Tahara	450100-2952.2	6986	
20999	7590	03/03/2006	EXAMINER		
FROMME 745 FIFTH		ENCE & HAUG	DIEP, NHON THANH		
NEW YORK	- · · - -	 -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2613	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/497,026	TAHARA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Nhon T. Diep	2613
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
· —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-27 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 28-47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or con Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2000 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 09/497,026 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner acknowledges the receiving of the Supplemental Declaration with applicants' signatures.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments, see page 3-5, filed 1/3/2006, with respect to 35 USC 102
 (e) rejection of claims 1-47 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-47 has been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, see remark, pages 5-8, filed 1/3/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 28-47 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Eyubolu et al, in view of Puri and Kretz et al (US 4,292,651).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 28-33 and 38-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eyuboglu et al, in view of Kretz et al (US 4,292,651) and Puri (US 5,563,593).

Eyuboglu et al discloses an efficient transcoding device comprising the same encoding apparatus for encoding source video data which had previously been encoded

Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

at a previous encoding process and had previously been decoded at a previous decoding process (fig. 3), the apparatus comprising means for receiving the source video data (fig. 3, el. 304); means for extracting coding information from the source data, wherein the coding information relates to a coding operation of the previous encoding process (fig. 10, output of el. 1002 to el. 1022, 1020 and 1010); and means for encoding the source video data in accordance with the coding information (el. 1010) as specified in claims 28, 29, 30, 31 and 44-47 and means for receiving picture coding type indicating which of I-picture, P-picture or B-picture had been associated with the previous coding process (fig. 10, output of el. 1002: framing inter/intra) as specified in claims 32 and 33; a decoding apparatus for decoding an encoded bit stream which had been encoded at the previous encoding process, the apparatus comprising means for extracting coding information from the encoded bit stream, wherein the coding information relates to a coding operation of the previous encoding process; means for decoding the encoded bit stream to generate decoded video data in accordance with the coding information (fig. 3, el. 304 and col. 4, In. 25-33: "achieve the performance of decode"); and means for transmitting the decoded video data and the coding information so that the coding information will be used in a later encoding process for the decoded video data (fig. 10, outputs of el. 1002) 38, 39, 40 and 41 and wherein the picture coding type indicates which of I-picture, P-picture or B-picture had been associated with the previous coding process (fig. 10, output of el. 1002: framing inter/intra) as specified in claims 42 and 43. It is noted that Eyuboglu et al does

Art Unit: 2613

disclose the video data source that is to be inputted to the transcoder had previously been encoded (output of element 302 of fig. 3) but not particularly disclose:

- a. the same video data source had NOT been previously decoded; and
- b. the coding information is included in a data identification area of the source video data as amended to claims 28--33 and 38-47.

With regard to a: It is noted that Eyuboglu et al also teaches that "transcoder will be required in many applications, for example, to change the constant bit rate video stream into variable bit rate and also in the case of conversion between two video compression formats and also in the case of multi-point video conferencing" (page 1, In. 60 – page 2, In. 8). The above passage suggests that, at least, in the case of CBR MPEG encoded video bit stream could be twice transcode to form a VBR that is compliance to H.261 bit stream by first transcoding MPEP encoded video bit into a H.261 video bit stream and then transcoding a CBR H.261 video bit stream into a VBR H.261 video bit stream. And therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to place two transcoders in series to convert a CBR MPEG encoded video bit stream into a VBR, H.261 video bit stream to serve the needs of customers. By doing so, the input of the second transcoder would have been both encoded and decoded earlier. The reference of Kretz, figure 7, el. 20-22 also shows two transcoders in series.

With regard to b: It is noted that Eyuboglu et al further discloses "State-of-the-art digital video coding systems utilize transform coding for spatial compression and a form of predictive coding known as motion-compensated prediction (MCP) for temporal

compression. Video compression techniques that have recently been adopted in international standards (e.g., the MPEG standard developed by the International Standards Organization's Motion Picture Experts Group (ISO's MPEG) and ITU-T's H.261), or others that are under consideration for future standards, all employ a socalled block-matching MCP technique." (col. 1, In. 41-51). In addition to that, Puri teaches header information is available in the digital coding information as part of the MPEG standard and is can be identified by the decoder and that the header information includes picture type and other information as well (col. 7, In. 13-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that, the coding information if it had not already been part of the digital picture signal and can be identified by the decoder of Eyuboglu et al, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include the coding information in a data identification area of the source video data to be identified by the decoder as taught by Puri. Doing so would help to meet the MPEG standard and help to decode video signal properly.

6. Claims 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eyuboglu et al, in view of Puri and Kretz.

As applied to the rejection of claim 38 above, it is noted that the combination of Eyuboglu et al, in view of Puri and Kretz does not particularly disclose a multiplexer for multiplexing the decoded video data and the coding information to generate multiplexed data; and means for transmitting the multiplexed data so that the coding information will be used in other encoding process as specified in claims 34-37. Eyuboglu et al shows

that outputs of the decoder (fig. 10, el. 1002) can be directly fed to adder 1004 and encoder 1010 without the need of multiplexing these outputs and separating them again at later step. As a matter of designer's choice and/or efficiency, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made to either feed both outputs of el 1002 separately or multiplexing them and separating them later.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Critchlow et al (US 4,893,317) discloses digital signals and frequency correction in a digital wireless system.
 - b. Speidel et al (US 4,825,285) discloses hydrid encoder.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ND 3/1/2006